

Appendix of "Partial Clustering Ensemble"

Appendix A: Proof of Theorem 1

The \mathbf{H} -subproblem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}} \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}) - 2\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{C}), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{V}^2 + \gamma \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{C} = \gamma \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{R}^T + \mathbf{V}^2 \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}^{(i)}$. Let \mathbf{H}^t denote the value of \mathbf{H} in the t -th iteration. Given a step size $\eta > 0$, we denote $\mathbf{M} = [\eta(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}), -\eta\mathbf{H}^t]$ and $\mathbf{N} = [\mathbf{H}^t, \mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}]^T$. The following Theorem provides an update formula of \mathbf{H}^{t+1} :

Theorem 1. *Suppose \mathbf{H}^t , \mathbf{M} and \mathbf{N} be defined as before, if $\mathbf{H}^{tT} \mathbf{H}^t = \mathbf{I}$, update \mathbf{H}^{t+1} as follows:*

$$\mathbf{H}^{t+1} = \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{M})^{-1}(\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{N}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t). \quad (2)$$

Then, $\mathbf{H}^{t+1T} \mathbf{H}^{t+1} = \mathbf{I}$, and this updating is in a descent direction of Eq.(1). Since Eq.(1) has a lower bound, the iteration method converges. Moreover, it can converge to a stable point.

Proof. According to Woodbury identity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{M})^{-1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t + \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{M})^{-1}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}\mathbf{H}^t \quad (3) \\ &= (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}(\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{N}\mathbf{M})^{-1}\mathbf{N})(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N})\mathbf{H}^t \\ &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{M}\mathbf{N})\mathbf{H}^t \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{\eta}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N}$, we have

$$\mathbf{H}^{t+1} = (\mathbf{I} + \eta\mathbf{Q})^{-1}(\mathbf{I} - \eta\mathbf{Q})\mathbf{H}^t \quad (4)$$

We first prove that $\mathbf{H}^{t+1T} \mathbf{H}^{t+1} = \mathbf{I}$. Let us take a closer look at \mathbf{Q} :

$$\mathbf{Q} = \frac{1}{\eta}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{N} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^t \mathbf{H}^{tT} - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}^{tT} - \mathbf{H}^t(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \quad (5)$$

It is easy to verify that \mathbf{Q} is a skew-symmetric matrix, i.e., $\mathbf{Q} = -\mathbf{Q}^T$. Then, we compute $\mathbf{H}^{t+1^T} \mathbf{H}^{t+1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{t+1^T} \mathbf{H}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q})^T \left((\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q})^T \right)^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{H}^t \\ &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{H}^t \quad (6) \\ &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) ((\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}))^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{H}^t. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) = \mathbf{I} - \eta^2 \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Q} = (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}). \quad (7)$$

Taking it back to Eq.(6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}^{t+1^T} \mathbf{H}^{t+1} &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) ((\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}))^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{H}^t \\ &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q}) (\mathbf{I} + \eta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} - \eta \mathbf{Q}) \mathbf{H}^t \\ &= \mathbf{H}^{t^T} \mathbf{H}^t \\ &= \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we prove that updating \mathbf{H}^{t+1} by Eq.(2) is in a descent direction. To prove it, we first provide the following lemma:

Lemma 1. *Given the objective function $\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1^T} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^{t+1}) - 2\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1^T} \mathbf{C})$ defined in Eq.(1), if we update \mathbf{H}^{t+1} by Eq.(2), we have:*

$$\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=0} = -2\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 \leq 0. \quad (8)$$

Proof. According to the chain rule, we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \eta} = \text{tr} \left(\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \mathbf{H}^{t+1}} \right)^T \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}^{t+1}}{\partial \eta} \right) \quad (9)$$

When $\eta = 0$, $\mathbf{H}^{t+1} = \mathbf{H}^t$, and $\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \mathbf{H}^{t+1}} \right|_{\eta=0} = 2(\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})$, $\left. \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}^{t+1}}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=0} = -2\mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H}^t$.

On one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=0} &= -4\text{tr} \left((\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{H}^t \right) \quad (10) \\ &= -4\text{tr} \left((\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}) - (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \mathbf{H}^t (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \mathbf{H}^t \right) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 &= \text{tr}(\mathbf{Q}^T \mathbf{Q}) \quad (11) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(((\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}) \mathbf{H}^{t^T} - \mathbf{H}^t (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T)^T ((\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}) \mathbf{H}^{t^T} - \mathbf{H}^t (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T) \right) \\ &= 2\text{tr} \left((\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C}) - (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \mathbf{H}^t (\mathbf{D} \mathbf{H}^t - \mathbf{C})^T \mathbf{H}^t \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have $\left. \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial \eta} \right|_{\eta=0} = -2\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 \leq 0$. \square

Lemma 1 shows that if \mathbf{H} moves a small step $\Delta\eta > 0$ in the update direction, the objective function \mathcal{J} will have a change $-2\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2\Delta\eta$ and since $-2\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 \leq 0$, the objective function \mathcal{J} will decrease. Thus the update direction is a descent direction. Moreover, since \mathbf{H} is an orthogonal matrix whose elements are all bounded, the objective function Eq.(1) has a lower bound, and the algorithm will converge.

To prove that it will converge to a stable point, we introduce the following lemma which shows the first-order optimality condition of the objective function:

Lemma 2. *Let $\mathcal{L} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}) - 2\text{tr}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{C}) - \text{tr}(\mathbf{\Lambda}(\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{I}))$ be the Lagrangian function of our objective function, where $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is the Lagrangian multiplier, then $\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$, so $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$ is the first-order optimality condition of our objective function.*

Proof. Set the partial derivative of \mathcal{L} w.r.t. \mathbf{H} to zero:

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = 2(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Lambda}) = \mathbf{0}. \quad (12)$$

By multiplying both sides of Eq.(12) by \mathbf{H}^T and applying the constraint $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$, we can solve $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ as $\mathbf{\Lambda} = \mathbf{H}^T(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C})$. Note that $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H}$ is symmetric, and its corresponding Lagrangian multiplier $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is also symmetric. So we rewrite $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ as $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C})^T\mathbf{H}$. Putting it back into Eq.(12), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = 2\left(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C})^T\right)\mathbf{H} = 2\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{H}. \quad (13)$$

On one hand, we have $\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = 2\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{H}$, so if $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$, then $\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{0}$.

On the other hand, if $\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}}{\partial\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{C}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C})^T)\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{0}$. Let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{C}$, then we have $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{H}$ due to $\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{I}$. Thus,

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{H})^T\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^T\mathbf{Z} \quad (14)$$

Taking the transposition of both sides, we have $\mathbf{Z}^T = \mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^T$. Then we obtain

$$\mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H}^T = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{H}^T \quad (15)$$

which means $\mathbf{Z}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T = \mathbf{0}$. Note that $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{H}^T - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{Z}^T$, so $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$. In summary, $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$ is the first-order optimality condition. \square

Now, get back to Theorem 1. The algorithm converges when $\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial\eta}\right|_{\eta=0} = 0$, which means \mathbf{H} cannot move a small step in the descent direction to make the objective function decreases. Since $\left.\frac{\partial\mathcal{J}(\mathbf{H}^{t+1})}{\partial\eta}\right|_{\eta=0} = -2\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2$, $\|\mathbf{Q}\|_F^2 = 0$, i.e., $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{0}$. Due to Lemma 2, it satisfies the first-order optimality condition, so the algorithm converges to a stable point. \square

Appendix B: Proof of Theorem 2

The α -subproblem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \quad & \boldsymbol{\alpha}^T \mathbf{G} \boldsymbol{\alpha} - 2\mathbf{f}^T \boldsymbol{\alpha}, \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & 0 \leq \alpha_i \leq 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where the (i, j) -th element of \mathbf{G} is $G_{ij} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^{(i)T} \mathbf{Y}^{(i)T} \mathbf{V}^2 \mathbf{Y}^{(j)} \mathbf{R}^{(j)})$ and the i -th element of vector \mathbf{f} is $f_i = \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^{(i)T} \mathbf{Y}^{(i)T} \mathbf{V}^2 \mathbf{H})$. Then, we have the following Theorem about its convexity:

Theorem 2. *Eq.(16) is a convex quadratic programming.*

Proof. Obviously, Eq.(16) is a quadratic programming, and the constraint is a convex set. To prove it is a convex quadratic programming, we just need to prove that \mathbf{G} is a positive semi-definite matrix. Given any non-zero vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^m$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_i G_{ij} x_j \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m x_i x_j \text{tr}(\mathbf{R}^{(i)T} \mathbf{Y}^{(i)T} \mathbf{V}^2 \mathbf{Y}^{(j)} \mathbf{R}^{(j)}) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \mathbf{R}^{(i)T} \mathbf{Y}^{(i)T} \mathbf{V}^2 \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \mathbf{Y}^{(j)} \mathbf{R}^{(j)} \right) \\ &= \text{tr} \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}^{(i)} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^m x_i \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}^{(i)} \right)^T \mathbf{V}^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Denoting $\mathbf{A} = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} \mathbf{R}^{(i)}$, we have

$$\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{G} \mathbf{x} = \text{tr}(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^T \text{diag}(\mathbf{v})^2) = \sum_{p=1}^m v_p^2 \|\mathbf{A}_p\|_2^2 \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, \mathbf{G} is a positive semi-definite matrix, and thus Eq.(16) is convex quadratic programming. \square

Appendix C: Proof of Theorem 3

The $\mathbf{R}^{(i)}$ -subproblem is:

$$\min_{\mathbf{R}^{(i)T} \mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \mathbf{I}} \text{tr}(\mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}^{(i)}), \quad (19)$$

where $\mathbf{K} = \sum_{j:j \neq i} \alpha_j \mathbf{R}^{(j)T} \mathbf{Y}^{(j)T} \mathbf{V}^2 \mathbf{Y}^{(i)} - \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{V}^2 \mathbf{Y}^{(i)}$.

The following Theorem provides its global optima:

Theorem 3. *Supposing the singular value decomposition (SVD) of $-\mathbf{K}^T$ is $-\mathbf{K}^T = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{S}^T$, then the global optima of Eq.(19) is $\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T$.*

Proof. Denote $\mathbf{W} = -\mathbf{K}^T$ and we have its SVD is $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{S}^T$. Notice that to minimize $tr(\mathbf{K}\mathbf{R}^{(i)})$ is equivalent to maximize $tr(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{R}^{(i)})$. Since $\mathbf{R}^{(i)}$ is an orthogonal matrix, its SVD is $\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \mathbf{R}^{(i)} * \mathbf{I} * \mathbf{I}$.

According to Von Neumanns trace inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 tr(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{R}^{(i)}) &\leq tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{I}) \\
 &= tr(\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T\mathbf{S}) \\
 &= tr(\mathbf{S}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{U}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T) \\
 &= tr(\mathbf{W}^T\mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T)
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

Obviously, the equality holds when $\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T$. Therefore, the global optima of Eq.(19) is $\mathbf{R}^{(i)} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{S}^T$. \square